C19	0.3116 (2)	0.3830 (2)	-0.3385 (3)	0.065 (8)
C11†	0.357 (2)	0.670 (3)	0.433 (4)	0.59 (12)
Cl2†	0.301 (2)	0.623 (2)	0.375 (4)	0.49 (8)
C20	0.396 (2)	0.772 (4)	0.485 (4)	0.26 (8)

 \dagger Occupancy factor = 0.5.

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu1Cu2	2.460 (2)	N1C1	1.327 (8)
Cu1N1	2.020 (5)	C1C8	1.524 (6)
Cu2N2	2.027 (4)	C1N2	1.335 (8)
C2N1	1.453 (6)	N2C14	1.439 (6)
N1Cu1Cu2 Cu1Cu2N2 Cu2N2C1 N2C1N1 C1N1Cu1 C2N1Cu1	86.43 (10) 86.54 (9) 119.7 (4) 120.1 (5) 120.5 (4) 117.0 (3)	C2N1C1 N1C1C8 C8C1N2 C14N2C1 C14N2Cu2	121.9 (4) 120.3 (5) 119.6 (5) 122.4 (4) 117.6 (3)

Weissenberg photographs taken using Cu $K\alpha$ radiation (λ = 1.542 Å) indicated Laue symmetry 4/m. Difficulty was experienced in measuring the crystal density by flotation; wide variations in the density of different crystals and density values changing with the time of immersion caused problems. The explanation was undoubtedly that solvent of crystallization, revealed later in the investigation to be present, was undergoing replacement in the immersion liquid. Intensity measurements were made with a two-circle diffractometer employing equi-inclination Weissenberg geometry. The angle θ' is the projection of θ onto the equatorial plane. The crystal was set to rotate about the a axis. A separate standard was required for each layer measured, but because of the equivalence of the a and b axes in the tetragonal system, refinement of interlayer scale factors was unnecessary. Refinement was carried out with phenyl groups constrained as rigid hexagons having individual U_{ij} parameters for the C atoms and H atoms at calculated sites with a common U_{iso} . A difference map showed a broad region of electron density around the fourfold alternating axis which was interpreted as disordered CH₂Cl₂

Data collection: Stoe Stadi-2 software. Data reduction: *DATR* (Small, 1977). Program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELX*76 (Sheldrick, 1976). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELX*76. Molecular graphics: *PLUTO* (Motherwell & Clegg, 1978).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: CF1005). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Alcock, N. W., Barker, J. & Kilner, M. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 712-715.
- Cotton, F. A., Inglis, T., Kilner, M. & Webb, T. R. (1975). Inorg. Chem. 14, 2023–2026.
- Cromer, D. T. & Mann, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321-324.
- He, L.-P., Yao, C.-L., Naris, M., Lee, J. C., Korp, J. D. & Bear, J. L. (1992). Inorg. Chem. 31, 620–625.
- Kilner, M. & Pietrzykowski, A. (1983). Polyhedron, 2, 1379-1388.
- Motherwell, W. D. S. & Clegg, W. (1978). *PLUTO. Program for Plotting Molecular and Crystal Structures.* Univ. of Cambridge, England.

©1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.

- Small, R. W. H. (1977). DATR. Program for Reducing Raw Stadi-2 Data to Intensities. Univ. of Lancaster, England.
- Yao, C.-L., He, L.-P., Korp, J. D. & Bear, J. L. (1988). Inorg. Chem. 27, 4389–4395.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2544-2546

Bis[(2-hydroxyethyl)dimethylammonium] Tetrakis(µ-benzoato-O:O')bis[(benzoato-O)cuprate(II)]

Urho Turpeinen, Reijo Hämäläinen and Ilpo Mutikainen

Department of Chemistry, University of Helsinki, PO Box 55, FIN-00014, Helsinki, Finland

(Received 25 April 1995; accepted 12 June 1995)

Abstract

Copper(II) benzoate reacts with 2-dimethylaminoethanol in ethanol forming a green copper(II) complex, $(C_4H_{12}NO)_2[Cu_2(C_7H_5O_2)_6]$. The two Cu atoms, with a Cu···Cu distance of 2.670 (2) Å in the centrosymmetric molecule, are held together by the four carboxylate groups. Each Cu atom is bound in a square-pyramidal configuration to five carboxylate O atoms. The (2hydroxyethyl)dimethylammonium cation is hydrogen bonded to the uncoordinated O atom of the unidentate carboxylate group.

Comment

Monomeric, tetrameric, hexameric and nonameric complexes have been obtained from reactions between 2-dimethylaminoethanol and Cu^{II} carboxylates (Turpeinen, Hämäläinen & Ahlgrén, 1980, 1985; Turpeinen, Hämäläinen & Reedijk, 1987, 1988). Blue and green crystals precipitate simultaneously during the slow evaporation of an ethanol solution containing equimolar amounts of copper(II) benzoate and 2-dimethylaminoethanol. The structure of the blue complex is monomeric, the Cu atom being six-coordinate with two 2-dimethylaminoethanol and one benzoate group acting as bidentate ligands (Turpeinen, Hämäläinen & Ahlgrén, 1985). The structure of the green compound, (I), is a variant of the classical dimeric copper(II) acetate monohydrate compound (van Niekerk & Schoening, 1953).

The structure of (I) is composed of centrosymmetric $[Cu_2(C_7H_5O_2)_6]^{2-}$ anions and (2-hydroxyethyl)dimethylammonium cations joined together by



hydrogen bonds $[N(1)\cdots O(6) = 2.681 (4) \text{ Å}; N(1) - H(1A)\cdots O(6) = 173^{\circ}]$. In the anion, the two Cu atoms are bridged by four carboxylate groups in a *syn-syn* configuration, with Cu—O bonds of 1.966 (4)–1.976 (3) Å. The other carboxylate groups are unidentate with Cu—O bonds of 2.117 (4) Å. Each Cu atom is displaced from the basal plane of the four O atoms by 0.22 (1) Å towards the apical O atoms. The Cu···Cu distance of 2.670 (2) Å found in the present compound is close to the value of 2.610 (1) Å observed in tetrakis(μ -benzoato-O,O')bis(benzoic acid)dicopper(II), and is within the range reported for several dimeric copper(II) carboxylates (Kawata *et al.*, 1992; Porter & Doedens, 1984, and references therein).



Fig. 1. View of the structure (*SHELXTL/PC*; Sheldrick, 1990). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 20% probability level.

Experimental

Blue and green crystals precipitated simultaneously during the slow evaporation of an ethanol solution containing equimolar amounts of copper(II) benzoate and 2-dimethylaminoethanol. The green crystals are of the title complex.

Crystal data

 $(C_4H_{12}NO)_2[Cu_2(C_7H_5O_2)_6]$ $M_r = 1034.0$ Triclinic $P\overline{1}$ a = 9.597 (4) Å b = 11.288 (4) Å c = 11.984 (4) Å $\alpha = 92.28$ (3)° $\beta = 109.58$ (3)° $\gamma = 99.10$ (3)° V = 1201.7 (8) Å³ Z = 1 $D_x = 1.429$ Mg m⁻³

Data collection

Nicolet P3 diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: ψ scan (North, Phillips & Mathews, 1968) $T_{min} = 0.83$, $T_{max} = 1.00$ 5250 measured reflections 5250 independent reflections 3489 observed reflections $[F > 6\sigma(F)]$

Refinement

Cu

O(1) O(2)

O(3)

O(4) O(5) O(6) O(7) N(1)

C(1) C(2)

C(3) C(4) C(5)

C(6) C(7) C(8)

C(9)

C(10)

C(11)

C(12)

Refinement on F	$w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$
R = 0.0480	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.008$
wR = 0.0552	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.51 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.18	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.76 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
3489 reflections	Extinction correction: none
307 parameters	Atomic scattering factors
H-atom parameters not	from SHELXTL/PC
refined	(Sheldrick, 1990)

 Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$$

x	у	Z	U_{eq}
0.0663 (1)	0.0464 (1)	-0.0743 (1)	0.033 (1)
0.0842 (4)	0.0787 (3)	0.1930 (3)	0.049(1)
0.1992 (4)	0.1519 (3)	0.0697 (3)	0.049 (1)
0.1985 (4)	-0.0736 (3)	-0.0325 (3)	0.045(1)
0.0930 (4)	-0.1441(3)	0.0973 (3)	0.044 (1)
0.1553 (4)	0.1264 (3)	-0.1985 (3)	0.046(1)
0.1674 (5)	0.1701 (3)	-0.3729 (3)	0.053 (2)
0.2908 (5)	-0.0853(4)	-0.6485 (3)	0.069 (2)
0.2848 (5)	-0.0317 (3)	-0.3449 (3)	0.043 (2)
0.1875 (6)	0.1463 (4)	0.1709 (4)	0.037 (2)
0.3048 (6)	0.2269 (4)	0.2719 (4)	0.040 (2)
0.4316 (7)	0.2909 (4)	0.2585 (4)	0.049 (2)
0.5452 (7)	0.3589 (5)	0.3540 (5)	0.055 (2)
0.5322 (8)	0.3614 (5)	0.4650 (5)	0.060 (2)
0.4047 (8)	0.2993 (5)	0.4800 (5)	0.065 (3)
0.2913 (7)	0.2314 (5)	0.3850 (4)	0.052(2)
0.1857 (5)	-0.1444 (4)	0.0434 (4)	0.036 (2)
0.2862 (5)	-0.2357 (4)	0.0716 (4)	0.035 (2)
0.2736 (6)	-0.3166 (4)	0.1548 (4)	0.040 (2)
0.3688 (7)	-0.3997 (4)	0.1826 (5)	0.051 (2)
0.4784 (7)	-0.4013 (5)	0.1317 (5)	0.054 (2)

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

Cell parameters from 25

 $0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.20$ mm

 $\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$

reflections

 $\theta = 7 - 14^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.95 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 293 K

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 27^{\circ}$

 $h = 0 \rightarrow 12$

 $k = -14 \rightarrow 14$

 $l = -15 \rightarrow 14$

3 standard reflections

reflections

monitored every 200

intensity decay: < 2%

Irregular

Green

C(13)	0.4901 (7)	-0.3210(5)	0.0492 (5)	0.055 (2)
C(14)	0.3953 (6)	-0.2385 (5)	0.0196 (4)	0.046 (2)
C(15)	0.1473 (5)	0.1964 (4)	-0.2767 (4)	0.037 (2)
C(16)	0.1149 (6)	0.3205 (4)	-0.2568 (4)	0.041 (2)
C(17)	0.1262 (6)	0.3674 (4)	-0.1445 (4)	0.043 (2)
C(18)	0.0972 (7)	0.4818 (5)	-0.1283 (5)	0.058 (2)
C(19)	0.0560 (9)	0.5486 (5)	-0.2218 (6)	0.076 (3)
C(20)	0.0474 (11)	0.5036 (6)	-0.3324 (6)	0.099 (4)
C(21)	0.0769 (9)	0.3902 (5)	-0.3502 (5)	0.071 (3)
C(22)	0.2192 (7)	-0.0853 (5)	-0.5629 (4)	0.054 (2)
C(23)	0.3415 (6)	-0.0512 (5)	-0.4451 (4)	0.044 (2)
C(24)	0.4138 (7)	0.0014 (5)	-0.2324 (4)	0.060 (2)
C(25)	0.1736 (8)	-0.1357 (5)	-0.3353 (5)	0.063 (3)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu-O(2)	1.976 (3)	C(3)—C(4)	1.384 (7)
Cu—O(3)	1,966 (4)	C(4)—C(5)	1.377 (9)
$C_{\mu} \rightarrow O(5)$	2.117(4)	$C(5) \rightarrow C(6)$	1.381 (10)
	2670(2)	C(6) - C(7)	1 380 (7)
$C_{ii} = O(1^{i})$	1 980 (3)	$C(8) \rightarrow C(9)$	1 494 (7)
$C_{i} = O(4^{i})$	1.976 (4)	C(9) - C(10)	1 399 (7)
O(1) $O(1)$	1.260 (6)	C(9) - C(14)	1 300 (0)
O(1) = O(1)	1.250 (6)	C(10) - C(11)	1 385 (8)
O(2) = O(1)	1.259(0)	C(10) = C(11)	1.303 (0)
O(3) - C(8)	1.258 (0)	C(11) = C(12)	1 399 (9)
O(4) - C(3)	1.203 (7)	C(12) = C(13)	1.366 (6)
O(3) = C(15)	1.240 (0)	C(13) = C(14)	1.578 (9)
O(0) = O(13)	1.203 (7)		1.308 (7)
O(7) = C(22)	1.413 (8)	C(16) - C(17)	1.390 (7)
N(1) = C(23)	1.497 (8)	C(16) - C(21)	1.376(7)
N(1) - C(24)	1.477 (6)	C(17) - C(18)	1.383 (8)
N(1) - C(25)	1.492 (8)	C(18) - C(19)	1.359 (9)
C(1)—C(2)	1.495 (5)	C(19)—C(20)	1.371 (11)
C(2)—C(3)	1.375 (8)	C(20)—C(21)	1.377 (10)
C(2)—C(7)	1.404 (8)	C(22)—C(23)	1.491 (6)
O(2)—Cu—O(3)	89.6(1)	C(4) - C(5) - C(6)	120.1 (5)
O(2) - Cu - O(5)	98.2(1)	C(5) - C(6) - C(7)	120.5 (6)
O(3) - Cu - O(5)	96.8 (2)	C(2) - C(7) - C(6)	119.7 (6)
$O(2) \rightarrow Cu \rightarrow Cu^{i}$	833(1)	O(3) - C(8) - O(4)	124 5 (5)
$O(3) - Cu - Cu^{i}$	87.8(1)	0(3) - C(8) - C(9)	118.0 (5)
$O(5) - Cu - Cu^{\dagger}$	175.2 (1)	O(4) - C(8) - C(9)	117.5 (4)
$O(2) - C_{1} - O(1^{1})$	167.2(2)	C(8) - C(9) - C(10)	1194(5)
$O(3) = C_{1} = O(1^{i})$	88.0(1)	C(8) - C(9) - C(14)	120.8 (4)
$O(5) = C_1 = O(1^i)$	94.6(1)	C(10) = C(9) = C(14)	110 7 (5)
$O(3) = Cu = O(1^{i})$	94.0(1)	C(10) = C(10) = C(11)	119.7 (3)
$O(2) = Cu = O(4^{i})$	166.8 (2)	C(0) = C(10) = C(11)	119.3 (3)
$O(5) = Cu = O(4^{i})$	100.8(2)	C(10) = C(11) = C(12)	120.8 (3)
	90.0(2)	C(11) = C(12) = C(13)	119.5 (0)
$C(1) \rightarrow O(1) \rightarrow Cur$	123.1 (3)	C(12) - C(13) - C(14)	120.4 (6)
Cu = O(2) = C(1)	124.3 (3)	$C(9) \rightarrow C(14) \rightarrow C(13)$	120.2 (5)
Cu = O(3) = C(8)	119.4 (4)	O(5) - C(15) - O(6)	123.2(5)
$C(8) \rightarrow O(4) \rightarrow Cu'$	128.8 (3)	U(5) - U(15) - U(16)	119.3 (5)
Cu = O(5) = C(15)	149.4 (4)	O(6) - C(15) - C(16)	117.4 (4)
C(23) - N(1) - C(24)	109.4 (4)	C(15) - C(16) - C(17)	121.0 (4)
C(23) = N(1) = C(25)	113.8 (4)	C(15) - C(16) - C(21)	120.0 (5)
C(24) - N(1) - C(25)	111.8 (4)	C(17) - C(16) - C(21)	119.0 (5)
O(1) - C(1) - O(2)	124.9 (4)	C(16) - C(17) - C(18)	120.0 (5)
O(1) - C(1) - C(2)	117.9 (4)	C(17)—C(18)—C(19)	120.5 (6)
O(2) - C(1) - C(2)	117.1 (4)	C(18)—C(19)—C(20)	119.7 (6)
C(1)—C(2)—C(3)	121.3 (5)	C(19)—C(20)—C(21)	120.7 (6)
C(1)—C(2)—C(7)	119.7 (5)	C(16)—C(21)—C(20)	120.1 (6)
C(3)—C(2)—C(7)	118.8 (4)	O(7)—C(22)—C(23)	106.2 (5)
C(2)—C(3)—C(4)	121.4 (6)	N(1)—C(23)—C(22)	113.5 (5)
C(3)—C(4)—C(5)	119.4 (6)		

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z.

All non-H atoms were refined anisotropically. H atoms attached to C and N atoms were introduced in calculated positions and refined with fixed geometry with respect to their carrier atoms. The H atom of the alcoholic group was located from a ΔF map.

Data collection and cell refinement: Nicolet P3 diffractometer system. Structure solution, refinement, other calculations and graphics: *SHELXTL/PC* (Sheldrick, 1990). Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: NA1186). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Kawata, T., Uekusa, H., Ohba, S., Furukawa, T., Tokii, T., Muto, Y. & Kato, M. (1992). Acta Cryst. B48, 253-261.
- Niekerk, J. N. van & Schoening, F. R. L. (1953). Acta Cryst. 6, 227-232.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.
- Porter, L. C. & Doedens, R. J. (1984). Inorg. Chem. 23, 997-999.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL/PC. Realease 4.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Turpeinen, U., Hämäläinen, R. & Ahlgrén, M. (1980). Acta Cryst. B36, 927–930.
- Turpeinen, U., Hämäläinen, R. & Ahlgrén, M. (1985). Acta Cryst. C41, 1728–1730.
- Turpeinen, U., Hämäläinen, R. & Reedijk, J. (1987). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 134, 87–93.
- Turpeinen, U., Hämäläinen, R. & Reedijk, J. (1988). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 154, 201-207.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2546–2548

Tribenzyltin Acetate, a Redetermination

GEORGE FERGUSON

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada NIG 2W1

TREVOR R. SPALDING, ANNA T. O'DOWD AND KAREN C. O'SHEA

Department of Chemistry, University College, Cork, Ireland

(Received 14 February 1995; accepted 20 June 1995)

Abstract

This redetermination of the structure of tribenzyltin acetate, $[Sn(C_2H_3O_2)(C_7H_7)_3]$, has confirmed the structure determined previously from photographic data [Alcock & Timms (1968). J. Chem. Soc. A, pp. 1873–1876], but the molecular dimensions reported here are an order of magnitude more precise. The structure is polymeric with bridging unsymmetric acetate groups [Sn–O 2.1309 (15) and 2.5592 (16) Å, O–Sn–O 169.54 (5)°, and C–O 1.281 (3) and 1.239 (2) Å]. Distorted trigonal bipyramidal coordination at Sn is completed by three benzyl groups [Sn–C 2.139–2.161 (2) Å].